PRESENTATION TO THE

1 1<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL GOVERNOR'S CONFERENCE ON THE MANAGEMENT OF THE ILLINOIS RIVER SYSTEM

ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION: CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS

MARSHALL PLUMLEY PLANNING & POLICY BRANCH, ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT

BY

OCTOBER 3, 2007







Senachwine Creek
 Pekin Lake SFWA – Northern Unit
 Pekin Lake SFWA – Southern Unit
 Questions



of Engineers®

## **Senachwine Creek**





#### • 58,000 Acres

#### 90 Square miles



of Engineers®

## **Senachwine Creek**





• 80% Agricultural

15% Forest

• 3% Urban

• 3% Wetlands







- The direct tributaries to Peoria Lakes make up less than 4% of the Illinois River Basin land area, yet contribute up to 50% of the sediment load of the Illinois River at Peoria Lakes
- Senachwine Creek is the largest direct tributary to Peoria Lake(s) and is among the highest sediment producers











## **Problems**



- High sediment delivery to the Illinois River
- Stream bed and bank instability
- Degraded stream and riparian habitats
- Isolation of existing high quality areas







Reliable





Reliable



of Engineers®

**Restoration Measures** 

#### WASCOB's

- Streambed/bank stabilization
  - Grade Control Structures
  - Rock Riffles
  - Bank Barbs
  - Stone Toe Protection
- Forest/timber stand improvement
- Riparian buffers
- Wetland Restoration One Team: Relevant Re







## **Problems**



- Continued willow invasion of moist soil areas
- Moist soil plant areas are flooded due to variable river levels



# **Project Goals**



- Improve Aquatic Habitat
- Enhance Wetlands
- Improve Terrestrial Habitat



# **Objectives**



- Keep late spring and early summer water level changes from impacting moist soil plant areas
- Improve existing shallow water habitats
- Alter conditions that promote willow encroachment on moist soil plant areas



## Features



- Construct Site Access gate and guardrails.
- Construct a new causeway along the existing rubble power line causeway alignment.
- Excavate material to create drainage swale (material used for causeway).
- Construct water control structure.
- Clear and grub wetland areas. Excavate these areas to remove sediment.
- Fill low spot along river with excavated wetland materials.



One Team: Relevant, Ready, Responsive and Reliable

#### **Current Railroad Access/ US Army Corps Gate Location**





of Engineers<sup>®</sup>



Contractor Responsibilities for railroad access: •RR to construct crossing. •Flagman at site. •Break trains parked at access.





of Engineers<sup>®</sup>

## **Stop Log Structure**







2005

Stop log structure location is at existing low point.



of Engineers®

## Causeway (2003)





Depending on year, clearing and grubbing will be required prior to placement of soil excavated from adjacent lakes.











Note that most of the vegetation has been removed (View is standing on the causeway, looking towards the RR)



## **Rubble on Causeway**





Rubble over a certain size will be removed or cut. Rebar will be cut. Excavated material will be placed over existing causeway.







## Worley Lake (11/05)





Approximate swale excavation location

Worley Lake Looking North from the Causeway (Drought Year)



## Wetland Enhancement



9/03

US Army Corps of Engineers®

Willows to remove are back here





#### **Muddy Construction**







It is likely that construction will be in muddy conditions (unless there is another drought year) so low pressure equipment will likely be required.



Floating Backhoe from divemar.com/ODS/docs/ hoedredge.html

## **Endangered or Threatened**

#### **US Army Corps** of Engineers®

## **Species**





**Decurrent False Aster** 

Timing issues important to avoid species impact









Bald Eagle (nests)



#### **Indiana Bat**





Maintain Minimum Clearance Distances. Important for stop log structure construction and placement of excavated material

Drive piles using Low overhead vibration Equipment http://www.iceusa.com/index.htm





## Pekin North Schedule Completed Work



- Public Review Draft approved by MVD (August 2003).
- P&S initiated September 2003. ITR completed in 2004.
- 401 Permit received in 2005.
- Feasibility Report approved by the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) 2006.







- Total Project Cost is estimated at ~\$6.3M.
- Construction Contract Cost is expected to be just under \$3M.
- Remaining costs are for RE and PED.





## Pekin Lake SFWA – **Southern Unit**







#### **Problems**













#### **Problems**









# **Project Goals**



- Improve Aquatic Habitat
- Enhance Wetlands
- Improve Terrestrial Habitat



# **Objectives**



- Provide overwintering fish habitat
- Improve spawning and nursery habitat
- Improve migratory waterfowl and shorebird habitat
- Improve forest diversity and re-introduce mast trees



#### Features



 45.7 Acres of Dredging with
 42.8 Acres of
 Placement













## Wet Conditions



 In spring and early summer months, may be placing material in several feet of water (447.1 is 2 year flood.
 Ground between 432 and 435).

 Photo taken in April 2005.









In summer and fall months, there may not be any surface water (looking south at the same spot as the last photo). Photo taken in September

2003.









- Access is only from the river.
- Some access dredging may be required.
- Public boat ramp south of project, within a half mile.







# **Stage 1 Construction**



US Army Corps of Engineers®

- Stage 1
  - Mechanical excavation and placement.
  - Three Dredging alignments
  - Two placement locations.





**Stage 2 Construction** 



- Stage 2
  - Shape placement berms
  - Hydraulic dredging
     One dredging alignment
     6 deep water holes.
  - Maintain differing elevations.
  - Knock down containment berms a few feet.
  - Plant trees (option).





US Army Corps of Engineers<sup>®</sup> Schedule: Completed



- Feasibility Report approved by MVD, September 2004.
- P&S initiated, April 2005.
- Feasibility Report sent to HQ, November 2005.
- Stage I Plans and Specs substantially completed (along with cost estimate), September 2005.
- Stage II Plans and Specs nearly completed (no cost estimate), December 2005.







- Total Project Cost is estimated at the project cap of ~\$7.69M.
- RE costs are estimated at ~ \$1.2M.
- Stage I is estimated between \$3.5M and \$4M.
- Stage II will use up most of the remaining costs up to the project cap.





#### **Questions?**





Artwork is provided to the Rock Island District courtesy of Michael Blaser
<u>www.blaserstudio.com</u> <u>www.steamboatmikey.com</u> ----One Team: Relevant, Ready, Responsive and Reliable

expires July 2010